

Trafford Borough Council

Report to: Executive Member for Environment, Air Quality and Climate Change
Date: April 2019
Report for: Approval
Report of: Principal Engineer, Traffic and Transportation, One Trafford

Report Title

**Shrewsbury Street, Old Trafford
Proposed No Waiting at Any Time Waiting Restrictions
Considerations of objections**

Summary

Proposals for the introduction of Disabled Bays, Limited Waiting and No Waiting at Any Time Waiting restrictions on Clifton Street, Cross Street, Shrewsbury Street and St Brides Way, Old Trafford, were formally advertised in September 2017.

Following the 21-day statutory consultation in the vicinity of Shrewsbury Street, 5 responses expressing objections were received including a petition signed by residents in the affected area.

Having considered the objections, it is proposed to amend the scheme and only introduce the 24-hour junction protection restrictions, as described in the schedule to this report and shown on drawing **E/8948/02**.

Recommendations

Agreement is sought to the following:

- 1) That the result of the consultation and the objections be noted
- 2) That, following careful consideration of the objections, authorisation is given to introduce the Traffic Regulation Order with minor modifications as detailed in schedules 1 and as shown on drawing **E/8948/02**.
- 3) That the objectors are notified of the Council's decision.

Contact person for access to background papers and further information:

Name: Bilal Valley
Background Papers: None

Ward: Clifford

1300 (02/19)

1.0 Background

- 1.1 Planning consent was granted in November 2014, for a site bounded by Clifton Street, Cross Street, Shrewsbury Street and St Brides Way, Old Trafford, to demolish the existing Old Trafford Community Centre, along with St brides Church and rectory. The limelight community hub, a new three and four storey mixed use building constructed including 81 care apartments, a replacement community and health centre with library, pharmacy, ancillary café and changing room facilities. A replacement church and rectory would also be provided along with car parking and landscaping throughout.
- 1.2 The redevelopment required the stopping-up of an existing highway, Blair Street, and alterations to Clifton Street and St Brides Way, including the reopening of Clifton Street at its junction with St Brides Way. The Order was made and is now operative.
- 1.3 In order to provide a turnover of parking spaces for the health centre and library in particular, the developer requested that consideration be given to the introduction of limited waiting parking places, with 1 hour or 2 hour limits, together with parking spaces for blue badge holders, junction protection markings and one-way traffic order have also been requested.

2.0 Consideration of objections

- 2.1 A total of 38 properties including Limelight centre, Afifah School and St Bride's Church received consultation letters. A total of 5 objections were received, representing 13.2% of the total number of properties consulted. In addition, no comments were received from the residents in support of the scheme. The objections, together with the Engineer's responses, are summarised in Appendix A to this report.
- 2.2 In addition to these objections a petition was also received objecting to the proposals signed by 52 residents, is summarised in Appendix B to this report
- 2.3 The scheme that was formally advertised consisted of limited waiting, no waiting at any time restrictions and disabled parking places on Shrewsbury Street, Clifton Street, St Brides Street and St Bride Way, Old Trafford. It is proposed to amend the scheme, by removing the limited waiting restrictions and the disabled parking places and to only introduce 'No waiting at any time' waiting restrictions. Residents have stated that it would cause them distress as

the houses do not have off street parking, thus residents rely solely on Shrewsbury Street and neighbouring streets to park their vehicles. The scheme would make the current parking situation more difficult due to the reduction in the available parking kerb space. In addition, the limelight centre has provided a car parking facility on St Brides Way which accommodates 26 car spaces and 4 disabled bays.

3.0 Comments

- 3.1 Chief Constable's View: "GMP have no objection to the updated proposal."
- 3.2 Transport for Greater Manchester. View: No comments received
- 3.3 Chief Fire Officer's View: No comments received
- 3.4 Ambulance Service View: "There are no objections to the attached proposals"
- 3.5 Hackney Carriage Drivers/Operator Representative's View: No comments received
- 3.6 Parking Services Manager: No comments received
- 3.7 Ward Councillors' Comments: "I am minded to support the recommendation no 2"- Councillor Whit Stenmett

4.0 Conclusion

- 4.1 Following consideration of the objections it is proposed to amend the scheme to introduce junction protection only. The scheme will provide 'No waiting at any time' waiting restrictions to maintain visibility at the junctions and to prevent inconsiderate, obstructive parking on the junctions and to improve road safety and traffic flow.
- 4.2 The proposals are shown on the drawing that accompany this report and are described in Schedule 1.

5.0 Schedule

5.1 Schedule 1 – Proposed waiting restrictions

The Borough of Trafford (Prohibition of Waiting and Loading and Provision of Parking) Order 2001, as amended: **to be amended to include the following:** -

Street	Side	From	To	Code
Clifton Street, Old Trafford	South west	A point 30 metres north west of its junction with St Brides Way	Its junction with St Brides Way	7A
Cross Street, Old Trafford	Both	Its junction with Shrewsbury Street	A point 10 metres north east of its junction with Shrewsbury Street	7A
Cross Street, Old Trafford	North west	A point 10 metres south west of its junction with Hamer Drive	A point 19 metres north east of its junction with Hamer Drive	7A
Cross Street, Old Trafford	South east	A point 43 metres north east of its junction with Shrewsbury Street	A point 65 metres north east of its junction with Shrewsbury Street	7A
Hamer Drive, Old Trafford	Both	A point 10 metres north west of its junction with Cross Street	Its junction with Cross Street	7A
Shrewsbury Street, Old Trafford	North east	A point 10 metres north west of its junction with Cross Street	A point 11 metres south east of its junction with Cross Street	7A
Shrewsbury Street, Old Trafford	North east	A point 53 metres south east of its junction with Cross Street	A point 51 metres north west of its junction with St Brides Way	7A
Shrewsbury Street, Old Trafford	North east	A point 10 metres north west of its junction with St Brides Way	A point 10 metres south east of its junction with St Brides Way	7A
St Brides Way, Old Trafford	North west	Its junction with Shrewsbury Street	A point 46 metres north east of its junction with Shrewsbury Street	7A
St Brides Way, Old Trafford	North west	A point 76 metres north east of its junction with Shrewsbury Street	Its junction with Clifton Street	7A
St Brides Way, Old Trafford	South east	Its junction with Shrewsbury Street	A point 40 metres south west of its junction with Oxford Court (Eton Close?)	7A

Codes:

7A - No waiting at any time

Appendix A:

ROAD	LOG	OBJECTS	SUPPORTS	NATURE OF OBJECTION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE
Shrewsbury Street	01	YES	NO	The residents strongly objects to the scheme as they believe that people will park their vehicles on the non-restricted side of the roads causing the residents to have parking problems. They sent an email stating 'I do not support any parking restrictions on Shrewsbury Street because if there is restrictions on one side of road they will park on opposite side where our space for parking is'. Considered as an objection	The council has amended the proposals, to remove the limited waiting parking places, to enable those visiting the Limelight and residents to park on Shrewsbury Street.

ROAD	LOG	OBJECTS	SUPPORTS	NATURE OF OBJECTION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE
Shrewsbury Street	02	YES	NO	Another objector feel as if they will not be able to park their vehicles near their home. In addition, this resident objected against the no waiting at any time restriction. As they own a business on the junction and fear they will lose customers as there is no place for them to park. 'I have to ask where do the residents park? when there is a need for two cars in one household how do we park close to home? I think more thought into the residents needs to go into the plan and I would like to oppose this decision of yellow lines. We have a business on the street and because of the work that has gone on we have lost customers and now when all these plans come into place we feel we will lose more business. Where are our customers going to park? This is also another factor that we need to consider'. Considered as an objection	It is worthwhile noting that the proposed restrictions have been amended to remove the limited waiting to enable both residents and those visiting the Limelight to park on Shrewsbury Street. However, the council proposing to introduce 'No waiting at any time' waiting restrictions to maintain visibility at the junctions and to prevent inconsiderate, obstructive parking on the junctions and to improve road safety and traffic flow.

ROAD	LOG	OBJECTS	SUPPORTS	NATURE OF OBJECTION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE
Shrewsbury Street	03	YES	NO	<p>The nature of this objection received from a resident is again due to the parking difficulties as the objector states 'Since the opening of limelight we the residents have been monitoring the increase of traffic and the bigger issue of parking on our street. From what we have witnessed, the majority of the visitors using the facilities in the limelight it is more convenient for them to park on Shrewsbury St which is causing major issues with the residents.</p> <p>We believe if the parking restrictions do go ahead it will only mean the residents will suffer as there is no restrictions which would help the residents of Shrewsbury St' This scheme would cause the residents and they have asked for permits.</p> <p>Considered as an objection</p>	The council has amended the proposals, to remove the limited waiting parking places, to enable those visiting the Limelight and residents to park on Shrewsbury Street.

ROAD	LOG	OBJECTS	SUPPORTS	NATURE OF OBJECTION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE
Shrewsbury Street	04	YES	NO	<p>This resident was 'upset' and 'angry' with the proposed waiting restrictions as she states that the parking was already difficult before the limelight was built. Now the parking is very hard to find and these restrictions will just make the situation worse, as the neighbouring streets use Shrewsbury Street as an overflow as well.</p> <p>Considered as an objection</p>	The council has amended the proposals, to remove the limited waiting parking places, to enable those visiting the limelight and residents to park on Shrewsbury Street. However, it is proposed to install the "no waiting at any time" waiting restrictions to improve visibility at the junctions and to prevent inconsiderate, obstructive parking on the junctions and to improve road safety and traffic flow.

ROAD	LOG	OBJECTS	SUPPORTS	NATURE OF OBJECTION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE
Shrewsbury Street	06	NO	NO	<p>The church representatives objected to the proposals as it would affect the everyday activities at the church, also there would be an impact on the community neighbours. They requested a meeting onsite for a Engineer to explain the scheme.</p>	A member of the Traffic Team went on site to explain the scheme to the church representatives. After a clear explanation of the scheme, the church representatives agreed with the proposals, as they agreed it would deter inconsiderate parking on the junctions and in the vicinity of

				Not considered an objection as this is part of the scheme, however will consider the comments	the church.
--	--	--	--	--	-------------

ROAD	LOG	OBJECTS	SUPPORTS	NATURE OF OBJECTION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE
Shrewsbury Street	07	NO	NO	<p>A representative of Afifah School has emailed the council on what we need to consider in this scheme. As there are 25 number of staff and 200 pupils in the school, they have requested additional one way signs on Cross street and Clifton Street. Installation of one way markings and they also request a yellow 'no parking' marking.</p> <p>Not considered an objection as this is part of the scheme, however will consider the comments.</p>	<p>The markings and signs requested by the school are outside the scope of the waiting restriction proposals. The existing signage comply with the guidelines.</p> <p>The request for a 'school keep clear' entrance marking will be considered separately</p>

Appendix B:

ROAD	LOG	OBJECTS	SUPPORTS	NATURE OF OBJECTION	COUNCIL'S RESPONSE
Shrewsbury Street St Brides Street Duke Court	01	YES	NO	<p>This petition objects to the proposals and will not accept any restrictions on Shrewsbury Street. The objector states 'this move completely disrespectful to the long-standing residents of Shrewsbury Street whom have endured the chaos of the building works over the last 3 years and then to discover that parking restrictions will be placed opposite their homes'. The objector then goes on to say the staff from the Limelight will park on the non-restricted side of the road, taking up the residents parking as do the visitors and states this is happened in the current state of the road. The objector mentions the street consists of children and sick old people that are not able to walk a long distance. The objector proposes that no restrictions are placed on the road, in addition, the residents are provided with permits and there is signage provided to advise staff and visitors to use the car park of the Limelight.</p> <p>Considered as an objection</p>	<p>The council has amended the proposals, to remove the limited waiting parking PLACES, to enable those visiting the Limelight and residents to park on Shrewsbury Street. However, it is proposed to install the 'no waiting at any time' waiting restrictions to improve visibility at the junctions and to prevent inconsiderate, obstructive parking on the junctions and to improve road safety and traffic flow.</p>

Relationship to Policy Framework/Corporate Priorities	none
Financial Implications	The cost of providing the restrictions, estimated to be £700, is to be funded from the Integrated Transport Capital budget. (9264387 - General Traffic Regulation Orders Works)
Legal Implications:	The proposed Traffic Regulation Order is being progressed in accordance with the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and the Traffic Signs Regulations & General Directions 2016 and if implemented will be enforced by the Council's Parking Services or be self-enforcing.
Equality/Diversity Implications	none
Sustainability Implications	none
Staffing/E-Government/Asset Management Implications	none
Risk Management Implications	Risk of challenge to the High Court is low.
Health and Safety Implications	Improvements to the highway network will improve safety for all road users.

Other Options

Leaving out the proposed restrictions to which objections have been raised would be detrimental to resident amenity, highway safety and would also lead to obstruction for other road users.

Consultation

In addition to the statutory advertising the proposals have been the subject of direct consultation with all affected frontages and with local ward councilors. The consultation was also posted on-line during the consultation period.

Reasons for Recommendation

To enable the necessary parking restrictions to be introduced and enforced, to enhance resident amenity, in the interests of highway safety and to discourage obstruction of the highway.

Ward: Clifford

1300 (02/19)

Finance Officer Clearance

Mark Hughes - *MCJH* (for Technical Finance Manager)

Legal Officer Clearance

Clair Kefford - **CK (Legal Services)**

CORPORATE DIRECTOR OF ECONOMIC GROWTH, ENVIRONMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE (electronic)



To confirm that the Financial and Legal Implications have been considered.